

NEF DIMENSION OF MINIMAL MODELS

FLORIN AMBRO

ABSTRACT. We reduce the Abundance Conjecture in dimension 4 to the following numerical statement: if the canonical divisor K is nef and has maximal nef dimension, then K is big. From this point of view, we “classify” in dimension 2 nef divisors which have maximal nef dimension, but which are not big.

0. INTRODUCTION

A *minimal model* is a complex projective variety X with at most terminal singularities, whose canonical divisor K is numerically effective (nef): $K \cdot C \geq 0$ for every curve $C \subset X$. Up to dimension three, minimal models have a geometrical characterization (Kawamata [9, 12], Miyaoka [14, 15, 16]) :

Abundance Conjecture . [11] Let X be a minimal model. Then the linear system $|kK|$ is base point free, for some positive integer k .

In dimension four, it is enough to show that X has positive Kodaira dimension if K is not numerically trivial (Kawamata [9], Mori [17]).

A direct approach is to first construct the morphism associated to the expected base point free pluricanonical linear systems:

$$f: X \rightarrow \text{Proj}(\oplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(X, kK)).$$

Since K is nef, f is the unique morphism with connected fibers which contracts exactly the curves $C \subset X$ with $K \cdot C = 0$. Tsuji [22] and Bauer et al [2] have recently solved this existence problem *birationally*: for any nef divisor D on X , there exists a rational dominant map $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$ such that f is regular over the generic point of Y and a very general curve C is contracted by f if and only if $D \cdot C = 0$. This rational map is called the *nef reduction* of D , and $n(X, D) := \dim(Y)$ is called the *nef dimension* of D . The nef reduction map is non-trivial, except for the two extremal cases:

- (i) $n(X, K) = 0$: K is numerically trivial in this case [2], and Abundance is known (Kawamata [10]).

¹1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 14E30. Secondary: 14J10, 14N30.

- (ii) $n(X, K) = \dim(X)$: $K \cdot C > 0$ for very general curves $C \subset X$.
The nef reduction rational map is the identity.

Our main result is that Abundance holds for a minimal model X if the nef reduction map is non-trivial and the Log Minimal Model Program and Log Abundance hold in dimension $n(X, K)$. The latter two conjectures are known to hold up to dimension three (Shokurov [21], Keel, Matsuki, McKernan [13]), hence we obtain

Theorem 0.1. *Let X be a minimal model with $n(X, K) \leq 3$. Then the linear system $|kK|$ is base point free for some positive integer k .*

The Base Point Free Theorem (Kawamata, Shokurov [11]) states that Abundance holds if the canonical class K is big. Combined with Theorem 0.1, the 4-dimensional case of Abundance is equivalent to the following

Conjecture 0.2. *Let X be a minimal 4-fold. If K has maximal nef dimension, then K is big.*

We stress that this statement is *numerical*: since K is nef, K is big if and only if $K^{\dim(X)} \neq 0$. For this reason, it is important to investigate how far are (adjoint) divisors of maximal nef dimension from being big. Questions of similar type have appeared in the literature: a divisor D is *strictly nef* (Serrano [20]) if $D \cdot C > 0$ for every curve $C \subset X$. Up to dimension 3, it is known that $\pm K$ is strictly nef if and only if $\pm K$ is ample (see [20, 23] and the references there). We point out that Conjecture 0.2 is false for the anti-canonical divisor $-K$ (which, at least in dimension two, is the only exception below):

Theorem 0.3. *Let X be a smooth projective surface. Assume that D is a nef Cartier divisor of maximal nef dimension, which is not big. Then exactly one of the following cases occurs:*

- (1) *The divisor $K + tD$ is big for $t > 2$.*
- (2) *There exists a birational contraction $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and there exists $t \in (0, 2]$ such that $D = f^*(D_Y)$, and $K_Y + tD_Y \equiv 0$. Moreover, D is effective up to algebraic equivalence. In Sakai's classification table [18], Y is either a degenerate Del Pezzo, or an elliptic ruled surface of type II_c, II_c^* .*

Theorem 0.1 is proved in several steps. The properties of the nef reduction map f and the numerically trivial case of Abundance [10] imply that f is birational to a parabolic fiber space $f': X' \rightarrow Y'$, and the canonical class K descends to a divisor P on Y' . After an idea of Fujita [6], it is enough to show that P is the semi-positive part in the Fujita decomposition associated to a log variety (Y', Δ) :

the semi-ampleness of P follows then from the Log Minimal Model Program and Log Abundance applied to (Y', Δ) . The key ingredient in this argument is an adjunction formula for the parabolic fiber space f' (Kawamata [8, 10], Fujino, Mori [4, 5]), similar to Kodaira's formula for elliptic surfaces. We expect that the logarithmic version of Theorem 0.1 follows from the same argument, provided that Kawamata's adjunction formula [8] is extended to the logarithmic case (see also Fukuda [7]).

Finally, Theorem 0.3 follows from the classification of surfaces and generalizes a result of Serrano [20].

Acknowledgments . This work was supported through a European Community Marie Curie Fellowship.

1. PRELIMINARY

A *variety* is a reduced and irreducible separable scheme of finite type, defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. A *contraction* is a proper morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\mathcal{O}_Y = f_* \mathcal{O}_X$.

Let X a normal variety, and let $K \in \{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}\}$. A K -Weil divisor is an element of $Z^1(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$. Two $\mathbb{R}$ -Weil divisors D_1, D_2 are K -linearly equivalent, denoted $D_1 \sim_K D_2$, if there exist $q_i \in K$ and rational functions $\varphi_i \in k(X)^\times$ such that $D_1 - D_2 = \sum_i q_i(\varphi_i)$. An $\mathbb{R}$ -Weil divisor D is called

- (i) *K*-Cartier if $D \sim_K 0$ in a neighborhood of each point of X .
- (ii) *nef* if D is $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier and $D \cdot C \geq 0$ for every curve $C \subset X$.
- (iii) *ample* if X is projective and the numerical class of D belongs to the real cone generated by the numerical classes of ample Cartier divisors.
- (iv) *semi-ample* if there exists a contraction $\Phi: X \rightarrow Y$ and an ample $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor H on Y such that $D \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi^* H$. If D is rational, this is equivalent to the linear system $|kD|$ being base point free for some k .
- (v) *big* if there exists $C > 0$ such that $\dim H^0(X, kD) \geq Ck^{\dim(X)}$ for k sufficiently large and divisible. By definition,

$$H^0(X, kD) = \{a \in k(X)^\times; (a) + kD \geq 0\} \cup \{0\}.$$

The *Iitaka dimension* of D is $\kappa(X, D) = \max_{k \geq 1} \dim \Phi_{|kD|}(X)$, where $\Phi_{|kD|}: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(|kD|)$ is the rational map associated to the linear system $|kD|$. If all the linear systems $|kD|$ are empty, $\kappa(X, D) = -\infty$. If D is nef, the *numerical dimension* $\nu(X, D)$ is the largest non-negative integer k such that there exists a codimension k cycle $C \subset X$ with $D^k \cdot C = 0$.

Definition 1.1. (V.V. Shokurov) A K -*b-divisor* $\mathbf{D}$ of X is a family $\{\mathbf{D}_{X'}\}_{X'}$ of K -Weil divisors indexed by all birational models of X , such that $\mu_*(\mathbf{D}_{X''}) = \mathbf{D}_{X'}$ if $\mu: X'' \rightarrow X'$ is a birational contraction.

Equivalently, $\mathbf{D} = \sum_E \text{mult}_E(\mathbf{D})E$ is a K -valued function on the set of all (geometric) valuations of the field of rational functions $k(X)$, having finite support on some (hence any) birational model of X .

Example 1. (1) Let ω be a top rational differential form of X . The associated family of divisors $\mathbf{K} = \{(\omega)_{X'}\}_{X'}$ is called the *canonical b-divisor* of X .

(2) A rational function $\varphi \in k(X)^\times$ defines a b-divisor $\overline{(\varphi)} = \{(\varphi)_{X'}\}_{X'}$.

(3) An $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor D on a birational model X' of X defines an $\mathbb{R}$ -b-divisor $\overline{D}$ such that $(\overline{D})_{X''} = \mu^*D$ for every birational contraction $\mu: X'' \rightarrow X'$.

An $\mathbb{R}$ -b-divisor $\mathbf{D}$ is called *K -b-Cartier* if there exists a birational model X' of X such that $\mathbf{D}_{X'}$ is K -Cartier and $\mathbf{D} = \overline{\mathbf{D}_{X'}}$. In this case, we say that $\mathbf{D}$ *descends to X'* . An $\mathbb{R}$ -b-divisor $\mathbf{D}$ is *b-nef* (*b-semi-ample*, *b-big*, *b-nef and good*) if there exists a birational contraction $X' \rightarrow X$ such that $\mathbf{D} = \overline{\mathbf{D}_{X'}}$, and $\mathbf{D}_{X'}$ is nef (semi-ample, big, nef and good).

A *log pair* (X, B) is a normal variety X endowed with a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Weil divisor B such that $K + B$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. A *log variety* is a log pair (X, B) such that B is effective. The *discrepancy $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor* of a log pair (X, B) is

$$\mathbf{A}(X, B) = \mathbf{K} - \overline{K + B}.$$

A log pair (X, B) is said to have at most *Kawamata log terminal singularities* if $\text{mult}_E(\mathbf{A}(X, B)) > -1$ for every geometric valuation E .

2. NEF REDUCTION

The existence of the nef reduction map is originally due to Tsuji [22]. An algebraic proof of the sharper statement below is due to Bauer, Campana, Eckl, Kebekus, Peternell, Rams, Szemberg, and Wotzlaw [2].

Theorem 2.1. [22, 2] *Let D be a nef $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor on a normal projective variety X . Then there exists a rational map $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$ to a normal projective variety Y , satisfying the following properties:*

- (i) *f is a dominant rational map with connected fibers, which is a morphism over the general point of Y .*
- (ii) *There exists a countable intersection U of Zariski open dense subsets of X such that for every curve C with $C \cap U \neq \emptyset$, $f(C)$ is a point if and only if $D \cdot C = 0$.*

In particular, $D|_W \equiv 0$ for general fibers W of f .

The rational map f is unique, and is called the *nef reduction* of D . The dimension of Y is called the *nef dimension* of D , denoted by $n(X, D)$. In general, the following inequalities hold [9, 2]:

$$\kappa(X, D) \leq \nu(X, D) \leq n(X, D) \leq \dim(X).$$

Definition 2.2. A nef $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor D is called good if

$$\kappa(X, D) = \nu(X, D) = n(X, D).$$

Remark 2.3. This is equivalent to Kawamata's definition [9]. If $\kappa(X, D) = \nu(X, D)$, there exists a dominant rational map $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$ and a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor H on Y such that $\overline{D} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(\overline{H})$, by [9]. Thus $n(X, D)$ coincides with the Iitaka and numerical dimension.

Remark 2.4. [2] The extremal values of the nef dimension are:

- (i) $n(X, D) = 0$ if and only if D is numerically trivial ($\nu(X, D) = 0$).
- (ii) $n(X, D) = \dim(X)$ if and only if there exists a countable intersection U of Zariski open dense subsets of X such that $D \cdot C > 0$ for every curve C with $C \cap U \neq \emptyset$.

3. FUJITA DECOMPOSITION

Definition 3.1. [6] An $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor D on a normal proper variety X has a *Fujita decomposition* if there exists a b-nef $\mathbb{R}$ -b-divisor $\mathbf{P}$ of X with the following properties:

- (i) $\mathbf{P} \leq \overline{D}$.
- (ii) $\mathbf{P} = \sup\{\mathbf{H}; \mathbf{H} \text{ b-nef } \mathbb{R}\text{-b-divisor}, \mathbf{H} \leq \overline{D}\}.$

The $\mathbb{R}$ -b-divisor $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}(D)$ is unique if it exists, and is called the *semi-positive part* of D . The $\mathbb{R}$ -b-divisor $\mathbf{E} = \overline{D} - \mathbf{P}$ is called the *negative part* of D , and $\overline{D} = \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{E}$ is called the *Fujita decomposition* of D .

Remark 3.2. Allowing divisors with real coefficients is necessary: there exist Cartier divisors (in dimension at least 3) which have a Fujita decomposition with irrational semi-positive part [3].

Clearly, a nef $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor D has a Fujita decomposition, with semi-positive part $\overline{D}$. More examples can be constructed using the following property:

Proposition 3.3. [6] *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper contraction, let D be an $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor on Y and let E be an effective $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor on X such that E is vertical and supports no fibers over codimension one points of Y .*

*Then D has a Fujita decomposition if and only if $f^*D + E$ has a Fujita decomposition, and moreover, $\mathbf{P}(f^*D + E) = f^*(\mathbf{P}(D))$.*

Lemma 3.4. *Assume LMMP and Log Abundance. Let (X, B) be a log variety with log canonical singularities. Then $K + B$ has a Fujita decomposition if and only if $\kappa(X, K + B) \geq 0$, and the semi-positive part is semi-ample. Moreover,*

$$\mathbf{P}(K + B) = \overline{K_Y + B_Y},$$

for a log minimal model (Y, B_Y) .

Proof. If $K + B$ is nef, it has a Fujita decomposition with semi-positive part $\overline{K + B}$. By Abundance, it is semi-ample. If $K + B$ is not nef, we run the LMMP for (X, B) . We may assume that X is $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial by Proposition 3.3. If $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Y$ is a divisorial contraction, then

$$K + B = f^*(K_Y + B_Y) + \alpha E,$$

where E is exceptional on Y and $\alpha > 0$. Thus $K + B$ has a Fujita decomposition if and only if $K_Y + B_Y$ has, and the semi-positive parts coincide. If $t: (X, B) \dashrightarrow (X^+, B_{X^+})$ is a log-flip,

$$\overline{K + B} = \overline{K_{X^+} + B_{X^+}} + \mathbf{E},$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor which is exceptional on both X and X' . Therefore $K + B$ has a Fujita decomposition if and only if $K_{X^+} + B_{X^+}$ has, and the semi-positive parts coincide.

If $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Y$ is a log Fano fiber space, $K + B$ admits no Fujita decomposition. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. [9, 6] *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a contraction of normal proper varieties, and let D be a nef $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on X which is vertical on Y . Then there exists a b-nef $\mathbb{R}$ -b-divisor $\mathbf{D}$ of Y such that $\overline{D} = f^*\mathbf{D}$.*

Proof. After a resolution of singularities, Hironaka's flattening and the normalization of the total space of the induced fibration, we have a fiber space induced by birational base change

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{\mu} & X' \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\ Y & \xleftarrow{\quad} & Y' \end{array}$$

such that f' is equi-dimensional, X' is normal and Y' is non-singular, and μ^*D is vertical on Y' . Let D' be the largest $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on Y' such that $f'^*D' \leq \mu^*D$. Since f' is equi-dimensional, $E = \mu^*D - f'^*D'$ is effective and supports no fibers over codimension one points of Y' . Furthermore, E is f' -nef since D is nef. By [6, Lemma 1.5], $E = 0$. Therefore $\mu^*D = f'^*(D')$. In particular, D' is nef and $\mathbf{D} = \overline{D'}$ satisfies the required properties. $\square$

4. PARABOLIC FIBER SPACES

We recall results of Kawamata [8, 10] and Fujino, Mori [4, 5] on adjunction formulas of Kodaira type for parabolic fiber spaces. Their results are best expressed through Shokurov's terminology of $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors. With a view towards the logarithmic case, we introduce them via lc-trivial fibrations (see [1]).

A *parabolic fiber space* is a contraction of non-singular proper varieties $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that the generic fiber F has Kodaira dimension zero. Let b be the smallest positive integer with $|bK_F| \neq \emptyset$. We fix a rational function $\varphi \in k(X)^\times$ such that $K + \frac{1}{b}(\varphi)$ is effective over the generic point of Y .

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a unique $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor B_X on X satisfying the following properties:*

- (i) $K_X + B_X + \frac{1}{b}(\varphi) = f^*D$ for some $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor D on Y .
- (ii) *There exists a big open subset $Y^\dagger \subseteq Y$ such that $-B_X|_{f^{-1}(Y^\dagger)}$ is effective and contains no fibers of f in its support.*

In particular, $f: (X, B_X) \rightarrow Y$ is an lc-trivial fibration.

Definition 4.2. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a parabolic fiber space with a choice of a rational function φ , as above. The *moduli $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor* of f , denoted $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}(f, \varphi)$, is the moduli $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor of the lc-trivial fibration $f: (X, B_X) \rightarrow Y$.

If φ' is another choice of the rational function, then $b\mathbf{M}(f, \varphi) \sim b\mathbf{M}(f, \varphi')$. Therefore $b\mathbf{M}$ is uniquely defined up to linear equivalence. According to the following Lemma, $\mathbf{M}$ is independent of birational changes of f :

Lemma 4.3. *Consider a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{\nu} & X' \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\ Y & \xleftarrow{\mu} & Y' \end{array}$$

where f, f' are parabolic fiber spaces and μ, ν are birational contractions. Then $\mathbf{M}(f) = \mathbf{M}(f')$.

Proof. Assume first that μ is the identity morphism. Since X, X' are nonsingular, it is easy to see that $\mathbf{A}(X, B_X) = \mathbf{A}(X', B_{X'})$. Therefore $\mathbf{M}(f) = \mathbf{M}(f')$.

We are left with the case when ν is the identity morphism. Let $B_X^{(Y)}$ and $B_{X'}^{(Y')}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors induced by f and f' , respectively.

Since the general fibre is non-singular of zero Kodaira dimension, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor C on Y' such that $B_X^{(Y')} = B_X^{(Y)} + f'^*C$. Therefore $\mathbf{M}(f) = \mathbf{M}(f')$, by [1, Remark 3.3]. $\square$

Proposition 4.4. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a parabolic fiber space.*

- (1) *Consider a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{\nu} & X' \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\ Y & \xleftarrow{\varrho} & Y' \end{array}$$

where ϱ is a surjective proper morphism, and f' is an induced parabolic fiber space. Then $\varrho^\mathbf{M}(f) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{M}(f')$.*

- (2) *If f is semi-stable in codimension one, then*

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_X(iK_{X/Y})^{**} = \mathcal{O}_Y(i\mathbf{M}_Y) \cdot \varphi^i, \text{ for } b|i$$

- (3) *The moduli $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor $\mathbf{M}(f)$ is b -nef.*

The key result of this section is the following corollary of [10, Theorem 3.6]:

Theorem 4.5. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a parabolic fiber space. Assume that its geometric generic fibre $X \times_Y \text{Spec}(\overline{k(Y)})$ is birational to a normal variety $\bar{F}$ with canonical singularities, defined over $\overline{k(Y)}$, such that $K_{\bar{F}}$ is semi-ample. Then the moduli $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor $\mathbf{M}(f)$ is b -nef and good.*

Proof. From the definition of the variation of a fibre space, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \bar{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X' \\ f \downarrow & & \bar{f} \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\ Y & \xleftarrow{\tau} & \bar{Y} & \xrightarrow{\varrho} & Y' \end{array}$$

such that the following hold:

- (1) $\bar{f}$ and f' are parabolic fiber spaces.
- (2) τ is generically finite, and ϱ is a proper dominant morphism.
- (3) $\bar{f}$ is birationally induced via base change by both f and f' .
- (4) $\text{Var}(f) = \text{Var}(f') = \dim(Y')$.

Let $\mathbf{M}, \bar{\mathbf{M}}, \mathbf{M}'$ be the corresponding moduli $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisors. After a generically finite base change, we may also assume that $\mathbf{M}'$ descends to Y' , and f' is semi-stable in codimension one. By (3) and Proposition 4.4, we have

$$\tau^*\mathbf{M} = \bar{\mathbf{M}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \varrho^*(\mathbf{M}').$$

In particular, $\kappa(\mathbf{M}) = \kappa(\mathbf{M}^!)$. Since $\bar{F}$ is a good minimal model, Viehweg's $Q(f^!)$ Conjecture holds [10, Theorem 1.1.(i)], that is the sheaf $(f_*^! \omega_{X^!/Y^!}^i)^{**}$ is big for i large and divisible. But $(f_*^! \omega_{X^!/Y^!}^i)^{**} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Y^!}(i\mathbf{M}_{Y^!}^!)$ for $b|i$, since $f^!$ is semi-stable in codimension one. Equivalently, $\kappa(Y^!, \mathbf{M}_{Y^!}^!) = \dim(Y^!)$, or $\mathbf{M}^!$ is b-nef and big. Therefore $\tau^*\mathbf{M}$ is b-nef and good, hence $\mathbf{M}$ is b-nef and good. $\square$

5. REDUCTION ARGUMENT

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be a projective variety with canonical singularities such that the canonical divisor K is nef. If $n(X, K) \leq 3$, then the canonical divisor K is semi-ample.*

Proof. Let $\Phi: X \dashrightarrow Y$ be the quasi-fibration associated to the nef canonical divisor K of X , and let Γ be the normalization of the graph of Φ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Gamma & \\ \mu \swarrow & & \searrow f \\ X & & Y \end{array}$$

Since Φ is a quasi-fibration, μ is birational, f is a contraction and $\text{Exc}(\mu) \subset \Gamma$ is vertical over Y . Let W be a general fibre of f .

Step 1: W is a normal variety with canonical singularities, and $K_W \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Indeed, W has canonical singularities and $K_W = \mu^*K|_W$. The definition of Φ implies that K_W is numerically trivial. From [10, Theorem 8.2], we conclude that $K_W \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$.

Step 2: There exist a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{\mu} & X' \\ & & \downarrow f' \\ & & Y' \end{array}$$

satisfying the following properties:

- (a) μ is a birational contraction.
- (b) $f': X' \rightarrow Y'$ is a parabolic fiber space.
- (c) There exists a simple normal crossings divisor Σ on Y' such that f' is smooth over $Y' \setminus \Sigma$.
- (d) The moduli $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}(f')$ descends to Y' and there exists a contraction $h: Y' \rightarrow Z$ and a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor N on Z such that $\mathbf{M}_{Y'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} h^*N$.
- (e) Let E be any prime divisor on X' . If E is exceptional over Y' , then E is exceptional over X .

Indeed, we may assume that Y is non-singular. Let $\Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a resolution of singularities, and let $f_0: \Gamma' \rightarrow Y$ be the induced contraction. The general fiber of f_0 is birational to the general fiber of f . The latter is a normal variety W with canonical singularities, and $K_W \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Therefore f_0 is a parabolic fiber space. We define $f': X' \rightarrow Y'$ to be a parabolic fiber space induced after a sufficiently large birational base change $Y' \rightarrow Y$. By Theorem 4.5, the moduli $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor $\mathbf{M}(f) = \mathbf{M}(f_0)$ satisfies (d) once Y' dominates a certain resolution of Y . Also, (e) holds once f' dominates a flattening of f , and (b) follows from Hironaka's embedded resolution of singularities.

Step 3: There exists a effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor Δ on Y' such that (Y', Δ) is a log variety with Kawamata log terminal singularities, $K_{Y'} + \Delta$ has a Fujita decomposition and $\overline{K} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f'^*(\mathbf{P}(K_{Y'} + \Delta))$.

Indeed, the parabolic fiber space f' induces an lc-trivial fibration $(X', B_{X'}) \rightarrow Y'$, with associated discriminant divisor $B_{Y'}$. We have

$$K_{X'} + B_{X'} + \frac{1}{b}(\varphi) = f'^*(K_{Y'} + B_{Y'} + \mathbf{M}_{Y'}).$$

It is clear that $B_{Y'}$ is effective, $\lfloor B_{Y'} \rfloor = 0$ and $\text{Supp}(B_{Y'}) \subseteq \Sigma$. Therefore $(Y', B_{Y'})$ is a log variety with Kawamata log terminal singularities. By (d), there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor Δ on Y' such that (Y', Δ) is a log variety with Kawamata log terminal singularities, and $\Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} B_{Y'} + \mathbf{M}_{Y'}$. In particular,

$$K_{X'} + B_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f'^*(K_{Y'} + \Delta).$$

Let $\mu^*K = K_{X'} - A$ and let $B_{X'} = E^+ - E^-$ be the decomposition into positive and negative parts. It is clear that A is effective and exceptional over X , and $A - E^-$ is vertical on Y . Thus there exist effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors $A' \leq A$ and $E' \leq E^-$ such that $A - E^- = A' - E'$ and E' is vertical and supports no fibers over codimension one points of Y' . In particular,

$$\mu^*K + A' + E^+ \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f'^*(K_{Y'} + \Delta) + E'.$$

By (e), the left hand side has a Fujita decomposition, with semi-positive part $\overline{K}$. Proposition 3.3 applies, hence $K_{Y'} + \Delta$ has a Fujita decomposition and $\overline{K} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f'^*(\mathbf{P}(K_{Y'} + \Delta))$.

Step 4: From the LMMP and Abundance applied to the log variety (Y', Δ) , the semi-positive part of $K_{Y'} + \Delta$ is b-semi-ample. Therefore $\overline{K}$ is b-semi-ample, that is K is a semi-ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor. $\square$

6. MAXIMAL NEF DIMENSION WHICH ARE NOT BIG

We prove Theorem 0.3 in this section. We fix the notation: X is a smooth projective surface and D is a nef Cartier divisor which has maximal nef dimension, but it is not big. We denote by K the canonical divisor of X .

Proposition 6.1. *The following hold:*

- (1) $\kappa(X, D) \leq 0$, $\nu(X, D) = 1$.
- (2) $D \cdot K \geq 0$.
- (3) *If $D \cdot K = 0$, one of the following holds:*
 - a) $\kappa(X, D) = -\infty$ and X is birational to $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$, where C is a non-rational curve.
 - b) $\kappa(X, D) = 0$ and X is either a rational surface, or an elliptic ruled surface.
- (4) *Assume $D \cdot K = 0$ and $K^2 \geq 0$. Then D is algebraically equivalent to an effective divisor.*

Proof. Since D cannot be good, (1) holds. We have

$$\chi(X, mD) = \frac{-D \cdot K}{2}m + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X).$$

Since $\nu(X, D) > 0$, $h^2(mD) = h^0(K - mD) = 0$ for $m \gg 0$.

(2) If $D \cdot K < 0$, then $\kappa(X, D) \geq 1$. This contradicts (1).

(3) Assume $D \cdot K = 0$. In particular, $\kappa(X) \leq 0$. Indeed, let L be a divisor such that $DL = 0$. Since D is nef, D is orthogonal on the irreducible components of all divisors in $|mL|$, $m \geq 0$. Since D is orthogonal on at most a countable number of curves, $\kappa(X, L) \leq 0$.

Assume $\kappa(X) = 0$. Let $\sigma: X \rightarrow X'$ be the birational contraction to a minimal model. Since $K_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, $K \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} E$ where E is effective and $\text{Supp}(E) = \text{Exc}(\sigma)$. Since $D \cdot K = 0$, D is orthogonal on each exceptional divisor, hence $D = \sigma^*(D_{X'})$. Thus we may assume X is a minimal model. After an étale cover, X is an Abelian surface or a $K3$ surface. If X is an Abelian surface, D is big by the same argument as in [20, Proposition 1.4]. Contradiction. If X is a $K3$ surface, $h^0(X, mD) = h^1(X, mD) + 2$ by Riemann-Roch, hence $\kappa(X, D) \geq 1$. Contradiction.

Therefore $\kappa(X) = -\infty$. Riemann-Roch gives

$$h^0(X, mD) = h^1(X, mD) + 1 - q(X), \quad m \geq 1$$

If $q(X) = 0$, then $h^0(D) > 0$. We are in case (b), and the rest of the claim is well known (see [19]). Assume $q(X) > 0$. Then there exists a birational contraction $X \rightarrow X' = \mathbb{P}_C(E)$, with $q(X) = g(C) \geq 1$. We are in case (a).

(4) If $q(X) = 0$, $|D| \neq \emptyset$ by Riemann-Roch. Assume $q(X) > 0$. There exists a birational contraction $X \rightarrow X' = \mathbb{P}_C(E)$, with $q(X) = g(C)$. Since $0 \leq K_X^2 \leq K_{X'}^2 = 8(1 - q(X)) \leq 0$, we infer that $X = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$ and C is an elliptic curve, i.e. $q(X) = 1$.

If $h^1(D + F_t - F_0) > 0$ for some $t \in C$, then $h^0(D + F_t - F_0) > 0$ by Riemann-Roch. Assume $h^1(D + F_t - F_0) = 0$ for every $t \in C$. Since D is of maximal nef dimension, $D \cdot F_0 > 0$. Therefore $h^0(F_0, D|_{F_0}) > 0$. By [20], Proposition 1.5, D is algebraically equivalent to an effective divisor. $\square$

Theorem 6.2. [19] *In the case (3b) above, assume moreover that D is effective and $DC > 0$ for every (-1) -curve C of X . Then the pair (X, D) is classified as follows:*

- (i) X is a rational surface such that $-K$ is nef and $K^2 = 0$:

$$\kappa(X, -K) = 0, \nu(X, -K) = 1, n(X, -K) = 2.$$

There exists a connected effective cycle $\sum n_i C_i \in |-K|$ such that the greatest common divisor of the n_i 's is 1. Also, $D = m \sum n_i C_i$ for some positive integer m .

- (ii) $X = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$ is a geometrically ruled surface over an elliptic curve C , of type II_c or II_c^* in Sakai's classification table:

- a) $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(d)$ with $d \in \text{Pic}^0(C)$ non-torsion. Let C' be the section with $C' \sim C_0 - \pi^*d$. Then $K + C_0 + C' = 0$ and $D = d_0 C_0 + d' C'$.
- b) $\mathcal{E}$ is an indecomposable extension of $\mathcal{O}_C$ by $\mathcal{O}_C$, $K + 2C_0 = 0$ and $D = d_0 C_0$.

Proof. (of Theorem 0.3) We contract all (-1) -curves on which D is numerically trivial: we have a birational contraction $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $D = f^*(D_Y)$ and $A = K - f^*(K_Y)$ is effective, exceptional on Y . In particular,

$$\kappa(X, K + tD) = \kappa(Y, K_Y + tD_Y) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By construction, D_Y is positive on every K_Y -negative extremal ray of Y . Note that Y is not a Del Pezzo surface: otherwise D_Y is semi-ample, hence good, by the Base Point Free Theorem. Therefore $-K_Y \cdot R \leq 1$ for every K_Y -negative extremal ray R of Y . Moreover, $D_Y \cdot R \geq 1$ since D_Y is Cartier. Therefore $K_Y + tD_Y$ is nef for $t \geq 2$. In particular,

$$(K_Y + tD_Y)^2 = K_Y^2 + 2(K_Y \cdot D_Y)t + (D_Y^2)t^2 \geq 0 \text{ for } t \geq 2.$$

Therefore either $(K_Y + tD_Y)^2 > 0$ for $t > 2$ (case (1)), or $K_Y^2 = K_Y \cdot D_Y = D_Y^2 = 0$. Assume the latter holds. By Theorem 6.1.(4), D_Y is algebraically equivalent to an effective divisor D' . The pairs (Y, D') are classified by Theorem 6.2. Exactly one of the following holds:

- (i) Y is a rational surface and there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K_Y + \frac{1}{m}D_Y \equiv 0$.
- (ii) $Y = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$, where C is an elliptic curve and $\deg(\mathcal{E}) = 0$, and $K_Y + tD_Y \equiv 0$ for some $0 < t \leq 2$.

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Ambro, F., *Shokurov's boundary property*, preprint math.AG/0210271.
- [2] Bauer, T.; Campana, F.; Eckl, T.; Kebekus, S.; Peternell, T.; Rams, S.; Szemberg, T.; Wotzlaw, L., *A reduction map for nef line bundles*. Complex geometry (Göttingen, 2000), 27–36, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [3] Cutkosky, S. D., *Zariski decomposition of divisors on algebraic varieties*, Duke Math. J. **53** (1986), no. 1, 149–156.
- [4] Fujino, O., Mori, S., *A canonical bundle formula*, J. Differential Geom. **56** (2000), no. 1, 167–188.
- [5] Fujino, O., *A canonical bundle formula for certain algebraic fiber spaces and its applications*, RIMS-1325, June 2001.
- [6] Fujita, T., *Zariski decomposition and canonical rings of elliptic threefolds*. J. Math. Soc. Japan **38** (1986), no. 1, 19–37.
- [7] Fukuda, S., *Tsuji's numerically trivial fibrations and abundance*, preprint math.AG/0108032.
- [8] Kawamata, Y., *Kodaira dimension of certain algebraic fiber spaces*, J.Fac.Sci.Univ.Tokyo **30** (1983), 1–23.
- [9] Kawamata, Y., *Pluricanonical systems of minimal algebraic varieties*, Invent. Math., **79** (1985), 567–588.
- [10] Kawamata, Y., *Minimal models and the Kodaira dimension of algebraic fibre spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math **363** (1985), 1–46.
- [11] Kawamata, Y.; Matsuda, K.; Matsuki, K., *Introduction to the minimal model program*, Algebraic Geometry, Sendai, Advanced Studies in Pure Math. **10** (1987), 283–360.
- [12] Kawamata, Y., *Abundance theorem for minimal threefolds*, Invent. Math. **108** (1992), 229–246.
- [13] Keel, S.; Matsuki, K.; McKernan, J., *Log abundance theorem for threefolds*. Duke Math. J. **75** (1994), no. 1, 99–119.
- [14] Miyaoka, Y., *The Chern classes and Kodaira dimension of a minimal variety*. In *Algebraic Geometry, Sendai*. Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **10**, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1987, 449–476.
- [15] Miyaoka, Y., *Abundance conjecture for minimal threefolds: $\nu = 1$ case*, Comp. Math. **68** (1988), 203–220.
- [16] Miyaoka, Y., *On the Kodaira dimension of minimal threefolds*, Math. Ann. **281** (1988), 325–332.
- [17] Mori, S., *Flip theorem and the existence of minimal models for 3-folds*, Jour. AMS, **1** (1988), 117–253.
- [18] Sakai, F., *D-dimensions of algebraic surfaces and numerically effective divisors*. Compositio Math. **48** (1983), no. 1, 101–118.
- [19] Sakai, F., *The structure of normal surfaces*. Duke Math. J. **52** (1985), no. 3, 627–648.

- [20] Serrano, F., *Strictly nef divisors and Fano threefolds*, J. reine angew. Math. **464** (1995), 187–206.
- [21] Shokurov, V. V., *3-fold log flips*. Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **40** (1993), no. 1, 95–202.
- [22] Tsuji, H., *Numerical trivial fibrations*, preprint math.AG/0001023.
- [23] Uehara, H., *On the canonical threefolds with strictly nef anticanonical divisors*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **522** (2000), 81–91.

DPMMS, CMS, UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, WILBERFORCE ROAD, CAMBRIDGE CB3 0WB, UK.

E-mail address: `f.ambro@dpmms.cam.ac.uk`